



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,155	03/05/2001	Richard Gary McDaniel	00 P 7500 US01	5378

7590 07/03/2006

Siemens Corporation
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator
Intellectual Property Department
186 Wood Avenue South
Iselin, NJ 08830

EXAMINER

HARTMAN JR, RONALD D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2121

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

09/809155

3/5/2001

McDaniel

00 P 7500 US01

EXAMINER

HARTMAN, JR.

ART UNIT PAPER

2121

20060613

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 4/10/2006 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because:

The applicant has not provided the examiner with an Oath or Declaration signed by the inventors. It is noted that in the Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application, mailed on 4/24/2001, the applicant was alerted to this fact. The application became abandoned after no response from the applicant and this occurred on 12/11/2003. The applicant then petitioned to revive the application and was successful in this attempt on 5/6/2004, however, the applicant still had not addressed the fact that the Oath or Declaration was unsigned with respect to the inventors. On 1/10/2006 a Non-Final office action was mailed which, once again, reiterated to the applicant that the Oath or Declaration was defective because it was not signed by any of the inventors. The Amendment filed on 4/10/2006, to which this notice is a response, still has yet to address this deficiency. Therefore, the amendment filed on 4/10/2006 is considered to be non-responsive since the applicant has not provided a signed Oath or Declaration or provided any reason(s) as to why this deficiency has been repeatedly ignored.

Since the period for reply set forth in the prior Office action has expired, this application will become abandoned unless applicant corrects the deficiency and obtains an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. In no case may an applicant reply outside the SIX (6) MONTH statutory period or obtain an extension for more than FIVE (5) MONTHS beyond the date for reply set forth in an Office action. A fully responsive reply must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

Randall D. Hartman
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2121
June 20, 2006